Prioritisation Matrix

As part of the JSNA Review process, a prioritisation matrix has been developed to evaluate the level of 'need' and strength of evidence behind the range of suggested priority topics.

There is no single 'best' way of prioritising inherently complex and varied health and wellbeing issues and any such process involves a certain degree of subjectivity. However, the matrix introduces objectivity, robustness and transparency into the process so that stakeholders can hold more informed discussions on what should be the key focus of Coventry's JSNA.

The table below outlines the key criteria to be used to assess each topic against, with a 'high', 'medium' or 'low' scores being given for each particular criterion.

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Red</u>	<u>Amber</u>	Green	<u>White</u>
	3	2	1	0
Magnitude/size of population affected	Topic covers an estimated 'in need' population (>25,000 people)	Topic covers an estimated medium sized 'in need' population (10,000 -24,999 people)	Topic covers an estimated small 'in need' population (<10,000 people)	-
Trend	Available evidence suggests rapidly worsening situation over time.	Available evidence suggests worsening situation over time.	Available evidence suggests situation has remained stable over time.	Available evidence suggests improving situation over time
Benchmark against England/West Midlands/ONS 1.2	Available evidence suggests very high prevalence relative to comparator areas	Available evidence suggests above average prevalence relative to comparator areas	Available evidence suggests prevalence in-line with comparator areas	Available evidence suggests relatively low prevalence relative to comparator areas.
What is the scale of inequality?	Persistent, wide scale geographic and population-based inequalities are clearly apparent.	Some notable geographic or population-based inequalities are apparent.	Some minor inequalities exist	No evidence of inequalities
What is the current annual spend on this area in Coventry? Is this an area of potential savings?	High annual spend (multi millions of £) /high potential area of saving	Medium level of spend (c.£5 million) / some efficiency saving possible	Low level of spend (<£1million of spend)/ little opportunity for efficiency savings	-
Is there an opportunity for the H&WB Board to take action in relation to this issue? What evidence is there that the scale or impact of the issue can be effectively reduced?	Yes	Maybe	No	Cannot be determined

<u>Criteria</u>	Red	<u>Amber</u>	<u>Green</u>	<u>White</u>
	3	2	1	0
Does the issue have early intervention implications?	Clear, demonstrable evidence that there is a strong case for early intervention.	Some evidence which highlights areas suitable early intervention.	Weak evidence that the topic has areas suitable early intervention.	No evidence to suggest that the topic contains areas suitable early intervention.